

United States v. Don Eugene Siegelman  
and Richard M. Scrushy  
2:05cr119-MEF  
**EXHIBIT 5-B**

1

1

2

3

4

5 TRANSCRIPTION OF VIDEOTAPED INTERVIEW

6

7

8 OF

9

10

11

12 TAKEN ON OR ABOUT JULY 19, 2006

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 Transcribed by: Eleanor S. Pickett,

21 Certified Shorthand

22 Reporter and Notary

23 Public

1           A.     I didn't want to put up with  
2     that. I decided not to watch it.

3           Q.     First could you state your  
4     name and spell it for us?

5     [REDACTED]  
6     [REDACTED]

7           Q.     First just tell us a little  
8     bit about yourself.

9           A.     Oh, what do you want to know?

[REDACTED]

14     you know, I don't know what all else you  
15     want to know.

16           Q.     Were you totally shocked to be  
17     on this jury?

18           A.     I was very surprised. You  
19     know, with the -- you know, you just don't  
20     know. With all the people that are pulled  
21     from and the juror pool being so huge and  
22     everything, you just -- you just don't  
23     ever think -- even when you get the

1 summons, you're just there's just no way  
2 I'm going to get selected for this trial.  
3 So it was very surprising to be selected.

4 Q. Was it tough having to go  
5 through that, what was it, seven or eight  
6 weeks and to be, you know, Juror Number  
7 40?

8 A. You know, it was a long time,  
9 and I think -- actually I think the first  
10 couple of weeks were some of the hardest  
11 because you kind of have to get into a  
12 rhythm of things. And for the first  
13 couple of weeks, you're just sitting there  
14 thinking about everything that you're  
15 missing on the outside and you can't go to  
16 work and you can't see your friends and  
17 stuff like that and you're busy and you're  
18 tired, and it's all new and everything.  
19 And so from there, you know, you're just  
20 like, okay. And then you kind of get used  
21 to it. And then you start to get to  
22 really know the jurors and you become  
23 friends with them. And so then you look

1 forward to going and seeing them and the  
2 people at the court and everything like  
3 that, so you kind of adjust to it.

4 Q. Yeah. I was reading y'all  
5 actually went out to eat together after  
6 the verdict?

7 A. We did. Especially in the  
8 first part of the trial, we heard so much  
9 testimony about Bud's and Sinclair's and  
10 how every time the inner circle would go  
11 to Bud's and Sinclair's. And so we said  
12 early that, you know, when this thing is  
13 over, we're going to Sinclair's for dinner  
14 and Bud's for a drink after this is over.  
15 So when it was ended, we're like, okay,  
16 we're going to do that, and we did.

17 Q. So did it live up to all the  
18 hype?

19 A. You know, I have been to  
20 Sinclair's before, so I knew what to  
21 expect there, but I had never been to  
22 Bud's before. It was smaller than I  
23 expected, but we had a good time.

1 Q. Describe in a few words your  
2 time on the jury.

3 A. That's hard. It was -- it was  
4 interesting. It was very -- it was  
5 challenging. And not just in terms of  
6 being away from your family and being away  
7 from work and everything like that, but  
8 also it was -- it was a complicated case.  
9 It required a lot of mental focus. And so  
10 it was -- it was kind of like going  
11 through like a nine-week crash semester at  
12 school where you really have to pay  
13 attention, you know, and figure out what's  
14 going on.

15 Q. Was it tough because there was  
16 so much evidence and so much testimony and  
17 so many charges to keep up with it all?

18 A. You know, it was -- it was --  
19 I think, you know, when you're sitting in  
20 trial, we don't have a copy of the  
21 indictment. We don't know exactly. I  
22 mean, they tell you at the beginning, but  
23 you're so overwhelmed those first two

1 days, you know, first -- during opening  
2 arguments and stuff like that, you really  
3 don't know. And so you're hearing all  
4 this evidence and all these people coming  
5 in, and you're not always completely sure  
6 how they all tie together or what's  
7 important, what's -- I mean, you assume  
8 everything is important, but it is kind of  
9 hard to keep up with that. And then when  
10 you go back into the deliberation's room  
11 and you have the indictment, you have the  
12 instructions from the judge, then -- well,  
13 then it's overwhelming as well, but you  
14 kind of -- you see where everything starts  
15 to piece together.

16 Q. Did you feel a tremendous  
17 burden to come up with a verdict?

18 A. I felt like it was our duty,  
19 speaking for me personally. I felt like  
20 it -- we were there to come up with a  
21 verdict. It was important to me to come  
22 up with a verdict because, you know, it's  
23 kind of -- you know, you don't want to see

1 anything tie. You know, football games,  
2 baseball games, they all have contingency  
3 plans so it doesn't go to a tie. We  
4 didn't want a tie. We wanted to come up  
5 with the verdict. And, you know, our  
6 instructions from the judge were to come  
7 up with a verdict if we can, and I'm glad  
8 that we did.

9 Q. At one point your foreman came  
10 out and called some of the jurors  
11 lackadaisical.

12 A. You know, we weren't --

13 Q. But he came back and said we  
14 can't come up with a verdict, but we want  
15 to keep working.

16 A. Well, you know, none of us,  
17 other than the foreman, have seen that  
18 note. And so, you know, it's difficult  
19 for me to comment on anything related to  
20 that note because I've never seen it. And  
21 so I don't know what it said or exactly  
22 what it was referring to. So I really  
23 can't really comment on that. I think



1   that after -- after that time when we --  
2   you know, we told the judge we had not  
3   come up with a verdict, you know, and he  
4   brought us back into the courtroom and  
5   said I'm going to give you additional  
6   instructions and then you're going to come  
7   back tomorrow and tell us whether or not  
8   you think future deliberations -- you  
9   know, further deliberations would be  
10  useful. And I think at that point we all  
11  decided, I decided, that, you know, our --  
12  we're here to look at the evidence, look  
13  at the law and come up with a verdict if  
14  we can. And we can do that. And so I'm  
15  glad that we all committed ourselves to  
16  seeing the task all the way through.

17       Q.    Talk about that deadlock.  
18   What were some of the issues?

19       A.    You know, you have twelve  
20  people who come into -- come into a room  
21  and they come from different walks of  
22  life, they come from very different  
23  backgrounds, a lot of different

1 experiences, a lot of different education,  
2 and twelve intelligent people who all come  
3 in and are tasked with looking at the  
4 evidence that's presented to us, looking  
5 at the law and saying are these four  
6 people, each one of them, are they guilty  
7 or are they not guilty. And when you have  
8 that number of people looking through all  
9 of those issues, you don't automatically  
10 sit down and agree. It takes discussion  
11 on the evidence, looking at things from  
12 different points of view in order to come  
13 up with what we eventually came up with,  
14 which we feel is the correct verdict for  
15 this case.

16 Q. Were there specific charges or  
17 what specifically contributed to the  
18 deadlock?

19 A. You know, I think a lot of  
20 it -- it was a complicated case, and so we  
21 didn't want to rush to a decision. We  
22 didn't want to take longer than we had to,  
23 but at the same time, we really wanted to

10

1 give -- you know, be thorough, look  
2 through all of the evidence, look through  
3 all of the testimony, evaluate everything  
4 carefully, look through -- you know, just  
5 the instructions on the law, you know,  
6 themselves were complicated. And so --  
7 you know, and different people with  
8 different points of view, you know, when  
9 you first read something, you come to --  
10 you have different initial reactions to  
11 things. And so the discussions between  
12 the twelve of us were very important to  
13 coming up with what that final verdict  
14 was, and that doesn't happen right away.  
15 And so I think that's where initially it  
16 was just, you know, people taking their  
17 time to really sort through the evidence  
18 for themselves and look at the law for  
19 themselves and come up with their decision  
20 on what they thought.

21 Q. Did you go ahead and take a  
22 vote? What were they split on?

23 A. You know, each count we looked

1 at individually. And so you couldn't --  
2 you know, there weren't -- you know, I  
3 think there is some speculation that, you  
4 know, well, you know, six people are this  
5 way and six people are this way, or seven  
6 people are here and five people are here,  
7 and it really wasn't that way. You know,  
8 you -- every count was different. We  
9 looked at every count, not only just the  
10 count itself, but also who it's related  
11 to. Each of those was an individual  
12 discussion. And so you couldn't just look  
13 at it and go well all of us felt this way  
14 on here, you know, and not on here. It  
15 was very different, depending on what  
16 issue you were talking about.

17 Q. How were you able to move past  
18 that deadlock and come up with a verdict?  
19 How were those people able to change their  
20 mind?

21 A. You know, I think that it was  
22 a commitment to coming up with a verdict.  
23 I think that was, you know, where we

1 realized -- we really realized it was our  
2 duty to come up with something and to look  
3 at the evidence and look at the law and to  
4 decide based on those two factors and  
5 nothing else what was the correct verdict  
6 to come up with. And I feel like up until  
7 that point, we really had -- we really  
8 tried very hard to look at those two  
9 things. But I think with that final --  
10 almost -- it was almost -- we kind of  
11 felt after the judge's final instructions  
12 to us we kind of had an out. You know, he  
13 gave us the -- you know, the instructions  
14 to if -- you know, if you go back and you  
15 discuss and you see that further  
16 deliberations would be useless, then send  
17 us a note and let us know. And we all  
18 kind of took that to be that we can -- we  
19 can go home tomorrow if we want to. We  
20 can look at this and we can just decide,  
21 hey, look, we have been discussing this,  
22 we haven't come up with something yet, and  
23 we can go home. And I think almost that

1 option to get out of there almost  
2 encouraged us to -- we had a different  
3 motivation for being there. We realized  
4 it was our duty to do what the courts had  
5 selected us to do, and we all were  
6 committed to doing that.

7 Q. So you don't feel the holiday  
8 contributed to, okay, let's go ahead and  
9 come up with a verdict so we can go home?

10 A. Not at all. I mean, like, you  
11 know, I feel we did not want to rush into  
12 any decision whatsoever. However, once we  
13 came up with the verdict, we felt like it  
14 was the right of the people, the  
15 defendants, you know, their attorneys, the  
16 prosecutors, the judge, for us to go ahead  
17 and let them know what our decision was.  
18 And so, you know, that really didn't  
19 factor into our decision-making at all.

20 Q. Your foreman had  
21 said when questioning the five hundred  
22 thousand dollar donation from Scrushy when  
23 some fellow jurors doubted the evidence

1   there, he pulled out a notebook where he  
2   had kept up with red e's for evidence.  
3   Can you tell me about that?

4           A.    You know, everyone kept their  
5   own set of notes.  I kept -- you know, I  
6   have -- I have a few notes.  I am not much  
7   of a note taker.  But everyone kept up  
8   with their own set of evidence.  And so,  
9   you know, each of us had different reasons  
10  for coming up with the, you know, ultimate  
11  decision that we came up with.  Especially  
12  in the counts where we found Don Siegelman  
13  guilty and found Richard Scrushy guilty,  
14  the evidence was overwhelming that -- as  
15  it pertained to the law that they were  
16  guilty on those counts.

17               And so I think a lot of people  
18  had, you know, based on the notes that  
19  they took, based on the evidence that was  
20  entered in, based on our remembrances of  
21  the testimony and all of those things,  
22  there was no doubt that they were guilty  
23  on those charges.

1           Q.     Any evidence stand out more  
2     than other, more -- stick out more in your  
3     mind, a particular witness?

4           A.     You know, we tried to look at  
5     -- I tried, I can't speak for anybody else  
6     on the jury, I tried to look at the  
7     totality of the evidence. And so I tried  
8     not to make my decision just based on  
9     okay, well, Nick Bailey came in here and  
10    said this, and so I believe him or I don't  
11    believe him. It was more well, Nick  
12    Bailey said this and Mike Martin from  
13    HealthSouth came in and said this and Lori  
14    Skelton came in and said this. And so you  
15    look at all of it. We have these  
16    different bank records that were entered  
17    into evidence. And so you try to look at  
18    the whole picture and not base all of it  
19    on just one particular person or one  
20    particular piece of evidence. You put all  
21    of it together to look at all of it as it  
22    relates to the charges.

23          Q.     An attorney, a particular



1 attorney stick out in your mind, did they  
2 influence you in any way?

3 A. You know, not really. We were  
4 very -- I was very impressed by all of the  
5 attorneys. You know, you kind of expect  
6 after -- you know, it's like well, this is  
7 the former governor of Alabama, you know,  
8 and Richard Scrushy, you expect them to  
9 have good attorneys. And they were good  
10 attorneys. We were very impressed by --  
11 you know, by the attorneys. And the  
12 prosecutors were good attorneys as well.  
13 And so all of them, you know, were --  
14 seems like they were very much committed  
15 to their jobs and, you know, very capable  
16 at doing what they did.

17 Q. How did you feel after the  
18 verdict?

19 A. I was thrilled. I was very  
20 happy that -- I was happy that we came up  
21 with a verdict after the length of the  
22 discussions, the length of the trial,  
23 especially after watching the news reports

1 after it was done and hearing all the  
2 speculation that had gone on while we  
3 were, you know, deliberating. I was very  
4 happy that we, you know, came up with --  
5 came up with a verdict and came up with  
6 what I feel was the right verdict.

7 Q. So you're still confident in  
8 the verdict?

9 A. I am very confident in the  
10 verdict.

11 Q. While the verdict was being  
12 read, did you look at the defendant?

13 A. I did, yeah. I did. I wanted  
14 to see -- I mean, like I tried every day  
15 to look at everybody in the courtroom and  
16 see who was there and, you know, see how  
17 they were responding to the witnesses and  
18 to -- you know, the -- whatever was  
19 happening. And so, yeah, I wanted to see  
20 what their reactions were to -- to the  
21 verdicts.

22 Q. What was that?

23 A. They were very -- you know,

1 they really kept a very blank face.  
2 Hamrick, Paul Hamrick, showed more  
3 emotion, you know. You could tell he was  
4 very thankful to have been found not  
5 guilty and -- and same with Mac Roberts.  
6 Richard Scrushy and Don Siegelman didn't  
7 show a lot of emotion. And so, you know,  
8 it's difficult to -- you know, it's  
9 difficult to guess what they were thinking  
10 during -- when the verdicts were being  
11 read.

12 Q. Was it tough with these two  
13 powerful men, was that a tough job for  
14 you?

15 A. You know, I tried not to look  
16 at it that way. You know, I think all of  
17 us -- it didn't come up in deliberations.  
18 I can't speak for what other people --  
19 what they would enter into their minds,  
20 what they were thinking, but it didn't  
21 come up in the discussions. It could have  
22 been the person next door and -- or it  
23 could have been, you know, the person who

1 comes in and fixes your house. We were  
2 looking at the evidence and we were  
3 looking at the law. And it didn't factor  
4 -- it didn't factor into my thinking at  
5 all whether this was the former governor  
6 of Alabama or this was the former CEO of a  
7 large corporation in Alabama. It didn't  
8 matter. It didn't matter if -- our  
9 feeling was was that if the evidence  
10 showed they broke the law, they're guilty,  
11 regardless of who they were. And if the  
12 evidence showed they did not break the  
13 law, they were not guilty, regardless of  
14 who they were.

15 Q. But you found them guilty. Is  
16 it disappointing to you that this was the  
17 governor at the time, now former governor?

18 A. It is disappointing. Any time  
19 you -- any time -- you know, I vote. It's  
20 important to me. I like the political  
21 process. And any time you elect somebody  
22 into office, you elect them with the  
23 expectation that they will be looking out

1 for the best interest of the state as a  
2 whole and not looking out for the  
3 interest -- their personal interest and  
4 not looking out for the interests of their  
5 friends or close acquaintances. And so it  
6 is disappointing to see that someone would  
7 take an elected position and use it for  
8 their own personal gain or misplace the  
9 trust that the people put in them when  
10 they elected them into that office.

11 Q. What would you like to see  
12 happen now?

13 A. In terms of the defendants?  
14 That's not up to me. You know, I  
15 really -- I like to keep with -- you know,  
16 I'll probably follow the case very  
17 closely. But that's a decision for, you  
18 know, the judge, that's something that  
19 their attorneys and the prosecution -- I  
20 really don't know how that whole process  
21 works. And so, you know, I really don't  
22 have a specific opinion as to what I think  
23 should or should not happen to them. I

1 feel that the political process or the  
2 process by which they were convicted is a  
3 good process. And so the process by which  
4 their sentence will be determined will  
5 also be a good process. And I will be  
6 satisfied with whatever happens.

7 Q. Scrushy was found guilty on  
8 all counts?

9 A. Right. Oh, he was guilty.  
10 Based on the evidence, there was no  
11 question whether or not he was guilty as  
12 it pertained to the law.

13 Q. When you were back there  
14 deliberating, was there one charge more  
15 than others that took more time that you  
16 were more unsure of?

17 A. All of the charges took a  
18 significant amount of time. We looked at  
19 each one individually. We looked at each  
20 defendant individually. And so there  
21 really wasn't one that just kind of like  
22 stumped us all. You know, we -- it was a  
23 lot of evidence. And the charges,

1 especially, you know, the RICO charges,  
2 were very complicated. We tried to be  
3 very organized in going through --  
4 after -- you know, after -- initially you  
5 kind of sit down and you're just shell  
6 shocked. You sit there and you're just  
7 like we have all this evidence, we have  
8 the law, we have the indictment, and  
9 you're just like where in the world do we  
10 begin. And so we found it very necessary  
11 from the beginning to try to keep things  
12 as organized as possible.

13 Q. And the charges you came back  
14 on with Siegelman, can you talk about  
15 those a little bit and why some guilty,  
16 why some not guilty?

17 A. Again, it's, you know, looking  
18 at the evidence, looking at the testimony,  
19 looking at what was -- what was entered in  
20 in terms of documents and everything. The  
21 charges that Siegelman was found guilty  
22 of, there was no question -- it was beyond  
23 a reasonable doubt that he was guilty of

1 those charges. And the other ones, it  
2 wasn't the same standard.

3 Q. Is there one piece of evidence  
4 that was more incriminating to you?

5 A. You know, I don't know if I  
6 could put my finger on -- on a particular  
7 piece of evidence or a particular, you  
8 know, person who came in and testified. I  
9 think the totality of the evidence spoke  
10 very strongly towards Don Siegelman's  
11 guilt on the charges that we found him  
12 guilty on.

13 Q. And what evidence, or lack  
14 thereof, to let these other two guys who  
15 are cleared on all charges?

16 A. I think it's just -- the  
17 totality of the evidence didn't paint as  
18 clear of a picture as it did for the  
19 charges related to Governor Siegelman and  
20 then the charges related to Richard  
21 Scrushy.

22 Q. It seems you took your job  
23 pretty serious?



1 A. I did. I did.

2 Q. You couldn't watch any news.

3 You stuck to all that?

4 A. I did. I saw one iter -- I

5 did see one Internet article. So I'll

6 confess.

7 Q. By accident?

8 A. It was -- it was -- yes, it

9 was almost by accident. It was -- after I

10 saw the headline, I was oh, I wonder what

11 that says. But I really did try very

12 hard. I really wanted to not base my

13 decision on anything other than what was

14 entered in court. And so I wanted to make

15 sure that there was -- I wanted to make

16 sure that when the verdict was reached at

17 the end, that I had confidence that the

18 verdict had been reached based on the

19 evidence entered in and the law and by no

20 other consideration. So it was important

21 to me not to let any other consideration

22 come into my decision-making.

23 Q. Early on there was some

1 bickering between attorneys. Did that  
2 play into your -- I mean, how did you feel  
3 about that? Some people said they were  
4 acting like children.

5 A. That is a big question. You  
6 know, a lot of people -- you know, it's a  
7 formal proceeding, and it's an important  
8 matter. Any trial is important. And so  
9 you kind of expect a certain level of  
10 decorum to be maintained by all the  
11 parties involved, yet it did kind of break  
12 up the monotony a little bit. And so, you  
13 know, it's not that it was a laughing  
14 matter, but at the same time, you know, it  
15 was -- it was my first trial, you know, as  
16 a juror ever.

17 Q. Was it disappointing to see  
18 them behave that way? It was interesting?

19 A. It was interesting. It was  
20 interesting. It was -- you know, it was  
21 obvious that it was passionate to both  
22 sides. I was not one of the people who --  
23 you know, I have heard speculation that,

1 you know, people that it was too personal  
2 to the attorneys. I didn't feel that way.  
3 I don't feel like it was personal to the  
4 attorneys. I felt like they look their  
5 job seriously, and I feel like anybody  
6 takes -- I take my job seriously. And so  
7 I am passionate about my job, but not more  
8 than I think I ought to be. And so I  
9 was -- it didn't make me look negatively  
10 really on either side. It didn't really  
11 -- it didn't enter my decision-making  
12 process.

13 Q. You didn't get to watch the  
14 news, so you didn't --

15 A. No, I didn't.

16 Q. -- see Siegelman's comments  
17 every day that he was always saying this  
18 was politically motivated. Did you feel  
19 that way?

20 A. I did not. I did not feel  
21 that way. I didn't feel like -- I didn't  
22 feel that way. I didn't feel like any of  
23 the -- that didn't enter into our

1 deliberations, you know, in terms of -- I  
2 don't attribute bad motives to the  
3 government for bringing the case. I don't  
4 attribute bad motives to the defense  
5 attorneys for, you know, defending who  
6 they did. I think they were doing their  
7 jobs. And so I did not go into it with  
8 the expectation that it was politically  
9 motivated. I don't think that it was.

10 Q. Has this changed you in any  
11 way, this trial?

12 A. I don't think you can walk  
13 away from any nine-week experience without  
14 being changed to a certain amount. It was  
15 a very interesting experience. I enjoyed  
16 it. I didn't -- you know, like the first  
17 couple of weeks were difficult for me to  
18 adjust to. But by the end, I really did  
19 enjoy the experience. It was very  
20 stimulating, you know, intellectually, and  
21 I -- you know, I made some great friends  
22 off of -- I really feel like all of the  
23 other seventeen jurors, I am friends with

1 every single one of them. And so I  
2 enjoyed that aspect of it.

3 Q. You will keep in touch with  
4 them?

5 A. I will. I will keep in touch  
6 with them. I think all of us are planning  
7 on keeping in touch with each other.

8 Q. The RICO statute was a big  
9 focus on this. Why the not guilty on  
10 that?

11 A. It's complicated. It's a very  
12 complicated case. That's not the only  
13 reason why. You know, the evidence did  
14 not clearly show the guilt beyond the  
15 standard of reasonable doubt. That's the  
16 only reason I can give you why those two  
17 charges were found not guilty in relation  
18 to Governor Siegelman and in relation to  
19 Paul Hamrick.

20 Q. Anything you can think of I  
21 did not ask you?

22 A. You know, I don't know what  
23 all else you --

1           Q.     Did you feel like some of the  
2     jurors were tired? I mean, you didn't see  
3     that note, but reporters heard, you know,  
4     what the foreman said.

5           A.     We were -- we were all tired,  
6     but we were all committed to coming up  
7     with a verdict.

8           Q.     What do you think he meant by  
9     that, that word?

10          A.     You know, I -- like I said, I  
11     haven't seen the notes. You know, I'm  
12     supposing that's a direct quote from the  
13     note. But not having seen it, I really,  
14     you know, feel hesitant to comment at all  
15     on what he meant or may not have meant by  
16     that, not seeing what context it was  
17     written in or any of that, I really don't  
18     know. But I can say that based on the  
19     discussions that we had when the judge  
20     gave us the option to go home and based on  
21     the discussions that ensued from that, we  
22     were all committed to coming up with a  
23     verdict and coming up with the correct

1 verdict.

2 Q. Ever any heated debates? I  
3 don't want to say arguments, but --

4 A. Our discussions were lively.  
5 I mean, they weren't -- they were not --  
6 they were -- they were very lively, but  
7 they weren't personal. That's -- you  
8 know, to me you can have a very, you know,  
9 engaging discussion on the issues, but it  
10 wasn't a personal discussion. And when  
11 the door opened and the deliberations  
12 ceased, we just went back to being  
13 friends. And so even though you may have  
14 come from very different points of view  
15 and have very different opinions, you  
16 know, the commitment is to the evidence  
17 and to the law, and it takes a long time  
18 to figure out exactly what the evidence is  
19 and exactly what the law is, especially  
20 after that many weeks of testimony, just  
21 trying to remember like, oh, yeah, we did  
22 have somebody come in and testify on that,  
23 didn't we, you know. And so just

1 remembering all of that stuff, it takes a  
2 fair amount of time and -- and we worked  
3 really hard to make sure that our  
4 decision-making -- and in the end, that's  
5 what we decided, we wanted to come up with  
6 a verdict that was only based on two  
7 things, and that was the evidence and the  
8 law. And I really feel like we did that.

9 Q. Were there jurors or one or  
10 two jurors that felt strongly one way and  
11 then turned and went completely the other  
12 way after they went through all the  
13 evidence?

14 A. All of us felt very strongly.  
15 All of us felt very strongly. I think,  
16 thought, all of us did not want to base  
17 our decision, our ultimate decision, on  
18 personal opinion. It has nothing to do  
19 with your personal opinion. It has only  
20 to do with what was entered into as  
21 evidence and what does the law say about  
22 that. And we tried very hard. And I feel  
23 like in the end, the verdict that we came



1 to, we came to that because of our  
2 commitment to following our instructions  
3 and doing what we were supposed to do.

4 Q. Do you feel all the jurors are  
5 comfortable with the verdict now?

6 A. Yeah, I really do. I feel  
7 very comfortable with the verdict. You  
8 know, just -- you know, you go out. You  
9 know, we went out to dinner afterwards,  
10 you know, we went to Sinclair's and went  
11 to Bud's afterwards, and we had said that  
12 from the beginning that we were going to  
13 do that, and we were happy to do that.  
14 And, you know, of course, you do just sit  
15 there and discuss, you know, like okay,  
16 well, now that it's been, you know, like,  
17 oh, what, three hours, how do you feel,  
18 you know. And we all I think are very  
19 confident with the verdict.

20 And I think that one of the  
21 reasons why we came up with the verdict,  
22 and one of the reasons why I am so  
23 confident about the verdict that we came

1 up with is because as a group, as the  
2 twelve of us that ultimately were the  
3 deliberating twelve, we were very -- we  
4 were very committed to the truth and we  
5 started off every day by holding hands and  
6 asking God to give us wisdom to come up  
7 with the right decision. And I think as a  
8 group our willingness to humble ourselves  
9 and ask God for his wisdom on these issues  
10 led us to make the right decision. I am  
11 very confident that we made the right  
12 decision on all of the issues that we --  
13 that we discussed and decided.

14 Q. Anything else?

15 A. I don't have anything else. I  
16 don't know if you have any other  
17 questions.

18 Q. If you think of anything --

19 A. I'm like why don't you come  
20 and watch, you know, it's just like --  
21 especially until deliberations start, you  
22 know, we know less than what everybody  
23 else --

1           Q.     Did anyone try to discuss it  
2     with you, didn't know you were on the  
3     jury?

4           A.     You know, every -- you can't  
5     help it, people know you're on the jury.  
6     You know, you can't disappear for nine  
7     weeks without people knowing that you're  
8     on -- I would love to discuss it with you.  
9     When this is over, we'll go get a bite to  
10    eat or something like that, but until  
11    then, I can't, you know.

12

13                   END OF TRANSCRIPTION OF

14                   VIDEOTAPED INTERVIEW

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF ALABAMA)  
JEFFERSON COUNTY)

I hereby certify that the  
above and foregoing transcription of  
attached DVD was reduced to typewriting  
under my supervision, and that the  
foregoing represents a true and correct  
transcript to the best of my hearing and  
understanding of said attached DVD.

I further certify that I am  
neither of counsel nor of kin to the  
parties to the action, nor am I in anywise  
interested in the result of said cause.

  
COMMISSIONER - NOTARY PUBLIC